Allocation of Wheal and Rice to Gujarat

@2304. SHRI RAJUWIAI A. PARMAR: Will the Minister of FOOD be pleased to state :

(a) the quantity of wheal and rice allocated to Gujarat upto 30th June. 1993 for distribution under the Public Distribution System;

(b) whether the allocation was made according to the demand made by the Stale Government for the above period:

(c) if not, what are the reasons for lesser allocation; and

(d) the steps taken to supply more wheal and rice as required by the Slate Government?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF FOOD (SHRI KALP NATH RAI): (a) From January,' 1993 to 30th June, 1993, the Government of Gujarat was allocated 2.07 lakh tonnes of rice and 3.31 lakh tonnes of wheat under Public Distribution System. In addition to this 20,000 Metric Tonnes of Jowar was also allocated to them during this period.

(b) to (d) Demands received from many of the State Governments including Gujarat have been generally on the high side which cannot be met entirely by the Central Government in view of the fact that the procurement of foodgrains for the Central Pool varies from 12 to 18% of the production and the allocation out of the Central Pool is only supplemental to the open market availability. Therefore allocations of Wheat and Rice from the Central Pool are made to the various States/Union Territories including Gujarat on a month to month basis taking into account the stocks available in the Central Pool, seasonal availability, offtake trend, relative needs of various State/UTs and other related factors.

India as a Member of International Sugar Organisation

®@2305. SHRI SOM PAL: Will the Minister of FOOD be pleased to slate:

(a) whether India is a member of the International Sugar Organisation (I.S.O.);

(b) whether it is a fact that on account of removal of some clauses from the International Sugar Agreement some important countries have withdrawn from the Membership of ISO.:

(c) if so, what are the details thereof:

(d) what is the rationale of India's continuation as the member of I.S.O.;

(e) the number of the delegations visited abroad to attend its meeting during the past three years: and

(f) what have been the expenses on these visits?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF FOOD (SHRI KALP NATH RAI): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) and (c) The reasons for which countries may join or opt out of the International Sugar Agreement would depend on the perception of their national interests. A Statement-I showing the membership of the prevalent International Sugar Agreement 1992, as on 31-7-93. is enclosed. *(See below)*

(d) The membership *of* the Agreement enables us to get information on the inter national sugar situation in respect of country-wise production/consumption as well as likely imports/exports, market review, prices and future trends, assessment of various develop ments taking place in tile sugar industry, as well as changes in policies in different countries and other such matters. It also provides us with detailed impact reports/analysis/assessmeni *of* he beet sugar industry and also of artificial sweeteners. All this information is useful to our country for planning its exports. Membership of the I.S.O. also enables us to seek funds from the common Fund for Commodities for projects connected with sugar and sugarcane.

(e) and (f) The number of the delegations sent abroad to attend the meetings of the Inter national Sugar Organisation during the past three years and the expenses incurred thereon are given in enclosed Statement II.

Statement I

S. No.	Name of the Country
1.	Argentina
2.	Australia
3.	Barbados

@Previously Unstarred Question 1765, transferred from 9th August, 1993.

@@Previously Unstarred Question 1409, transferred from 5th August, 1993.

4.	Brazil	16.	Jamaica
5.	Colombia	17.	Japan
6.	Cote d' Ivoire	18.	Korea, Republic of
7.	Cuba	19.	Mauritius
8.	Dominican Republic	20.	Panama
9.	EEC	21.	South Africa
10.	Fiji	22.	Swaziland
11.	Finland	23.	Sweden
12.	Guatemala	24.	Switzerland
13.	Guyana	25.	Thailand
14.	Hungary	26.	Austria
15.	India		

Statement II

Sl. No.	Year	Month of Council Meeting	Details of Delegation	Approved Estimated expenditure
1.	1990	November Session	One-Member Delegation	Rs. 48.162/-
2.	1991	November Session	—do—	Rs. 63.695/-
3.	1991	December Session	—do—	Rs. 52.950/-
4.	1992	May Session	—do—	Rs. 74.658.50*
5.	1992	November Session	—do—	Rs. 73.572.50*
6.	1993	May Session	Three-Member Delegation	Rs. 2.28.445/-*

*Excluding Hotel Charges.

Production of Sugarcane

2306. SHRI KRISHAN LAL SHARMA: Will the Minister of FOOD be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that sugarcane production in the country is in a state of stagnation;

(b) whether it is also a fact that it is not likely to meet the requirement of the sugar mills of the country;

(c) if so, the details thereof together with reaso-' therefor; and

(d) the steps being taken in this regard?

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF FOOD (SHRI KALP NATH RAI): (a) No, Sir. The sugarcane production has shown an increasing trend since 1985-86, except for the current 1992-93 season when the sugarcane production declined marginally.

(b) No, Sir. The availability of sugarcane is adequate to meet the requirement of sugar mills in the country. However, due to enhanced diversion of sugarcane to the gur and khandsari sector during 1992-93 season, the availability of sugarcane to the sugar factories was reduced.

(c) Does not arise.

(d) The Government has already initiated